



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,299	09/838,299 04/20/2001		David Kerr	19111.0055	1841
23517	7590	12/27/2005		EXAMINER	
SWIDLE	R BERLIN	LLP	NGUYEN BA, PAUL H		
3000 K ST	REET, NW				
BOX IP				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	GTON, DC	20007	2176		

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	LA U						
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/838,299	KERR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Paul Nguyen-Ba	2176					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A	lovember 2005.						
; 							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-14 and 16-19</u> is/are pending in	the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-14 and 16-19</u> is/are rejected.	∑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-14 and 16-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:)-(d) or (f).					
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price							
application from the International Burea		· ·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/838,299

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/17/2005 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-14, and 16-19 are currently pending. Claims 1, 8, 14, and 17 are independent claims.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2176

5. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8-10, 14, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cavendish et al. ("Cavendish"), U.S. Patent No. 5,408,659.

Regarding independent claim 1, Cavendish teaches:

method for defining a link between first and second applications windows on a processing system (see Abstract), the processing system having a database (see Fig. 2; col. 7, lines 25-28) and at least one remote end station (col. 5, lines 61-66 \rightarrow CPU with connected display device) coupled to the database a communications system, operating the end station so as to:

display a first applications window (see Fig. 3; col. 8, lines 1-14); cause the processing system to enter a link defining mode and display second applications window, thereby causing the processing system to define link between the first and second applications windows; in response to displaying the second applications window; (see Figs. 3-6; col. 7 line 62 to col. 8 line 61 — link pane class application framework enables implementation of a link defining mode wherein a user may define a link between a first and second application window by dragging the icon display to the respective locations in either the first or second application window); and

generate link data defining the link, the link data being stored on the database (see Fig. 2; col. 7, lines $25-28 \rightarrow$ relational database).

Regarding claims 2 and 10, please refer to the rationale relied upon to reject substantially similar subject matter in independent claim 1.

Regarding claim 9, Cavendish further teaches displaying the applications window on a display of the end station (see Figs. 3-6).

Regarding claim 4, Cavendish further teaches:

the link being defined to allow the second applications window to be displayed directly from the first applications window (see Figs. 3-6; col. 8, lines $10-61 \rightarrow$ simply drag the icon representing the second application onto the first application window using the click-and-drag method to all second applications window to be displayed directly from the first applications window).

Regarding claims 5 and 6, Cavendish further teaches:

presenting the link (defined as an icon) within the first applications window (see Figs. 3 and 6; col. 8, lines $23-31 \rightarrow$ an object which associates a point in one object with a point in another object is called a link).

Regarding independent claims 8, 14, and 17, please refer to the rationale relied upon to reject substantially similar subject matter in independent claim 1.

Regarding claim 18, Cavendish further teaches:

a database storing applications data associated with the first and second applications windows (see Fig. 2; col. 7, lines 25-28 → relational database).

Application/Control Number: 09/838,299 Page 5

Art Unit: 2176

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 7, 11-13, 16, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cavendish et al. ("Cavendish"), U.S. Patent No. 5,408,659, in view of Cragun, U.S. Patent No. 6,177,936.

Regarding claims 7, 11, 16, and 19

Cavendish teaches a method and processing system for defining a link between first and second applications windows on a processing system (see Abstract), the processing system having a database (see Fig. 2; col. 7, lines 25-28) and at least one remote end station (col. 5, lines 61-66 → CPU with connected display device) coupled to the database a communications system with respect to independent claims 1, 8, 14, and 17 as discussed above.

Cavendish does not specifically teach the use of a respective identifier, wherein the link data is stored in accordance with the respective user identifier such that each user can define respective links.

However, Cragun teaches a system and method for providing contextual information for multiple windows wherein a user profile is maintained for the purpose of storing a user's preferences for use at a later date (see Figs. 8 and 9; col. 8, lines 27-33).

Since Cavendish and Cragun are both from the same field of endeavor, the purposes disclosed by Cragun would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Cavendish. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Cavendish with the teachings of Cragun to include a user profile, identified by an identifier, for storing the link defining preferences of the user for the purpose of storing a user's preferences for use at a later date.

Regarding claim 12

Cavendish teaches the processing system with respect to claim 10 as discussed above, but does not specifically teach the storing the link data in the database in accordance with the user password.

However, Cragun teaches a system and method for providing contextual information for multiple windows wherein a user profile is maintained for the purpose of storing a *particular* user's preferences for use at a later date (see Figs. 8 and 9; col. 8, lines 27-33).

It was commonly known to those of ordinary skill in the art to use passwords to ensure that only the appropriate user can access the database storing his/her profile. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include a user password for the purpose of accessing a particular user profile in a database.

Regarding claim 13

Cavendish teaches the processing system with respect to claim 12 as discussed above, but does not specifically teach the end station processor being adapted to receive and transfer the

user identifier to the center processor, and the center processor being adapted to transfer any link data stored in the database.

However, Cragun teaches a system and method for providing contextual information for multiple windows wherein a user profile is maintained for the purpose of storing a *particular* user's preferences for use at a later date (see Figs. 8 and 9; col. 8, lines 27-33).

It was commonly known to those of ordinary skill in the art to provide a processor adapted to receive and transfer a user identifier to a center processor to transfer data stored in a database for the purpose of providing storing and providing access to link definitions to the appropriate user. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide a processor adapted to receive and transfer a user identifier to a center processor to transfer data stored in a database for the purpose of providing storing and providing access to link definitions to the appropriate user.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's outstanding arguments filed 11/17/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant contends that Cavendish does not disclose or suggest defining a link by displaying an applications window (Applicant's remarks - pg. 9).

Application/Control Number: 09/838,299 Page 8

Art Unit: 2176

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Giving the contended claim limitation its broadest reasonable interpretation without reading limitations of the specification into the claim,

Cavendish clearly discloses "defining a link by displaying an applications window" (see Figs. 3-6; col. 7 line 62 to col. 8 line 61). It is noted that Cavendish causes a link to be defined through clicking and dragging as Applicant points out. However, it is through the displaying of the applications windows that makes defining a link possible at all, per Cavendish. Therefore, the displaying of the applications window is a necessary, disclosed feature that is required for the Cavendish link defining method and system to properly operate.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Nguyen-Ba whose telephone number is (571) 272-4094. The examiner can normally be reached on 11 am - 7 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on (571) 272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,299

Art Unit: 2176

Page 9

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PNB 12/22/05

> WILLIAM BASHORE PRIMARY EXAMINER

12/22/2005